

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/695,446	10/24/2000	Suzana Petanceska	0630/1G184-US1	2608
32801	7590 12/14/2004		EXAM	IINER
DARBY &	DARBY P.C.	KISHORE, GOLLAMUDI S		
	P.O. BOX 5257 NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257			PAPER NUMBER
			1615	·
			DATE MAIL ED. 12/14/200	

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/695,446	PETANCESKA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gollamudi S Kishore, Ph.D	1615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a replaced in the period of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuth Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply long within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 A	August 2004.				
	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under		•			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application	` 1.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-19 and 26-30</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•••			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6,20-25 and 31-333</u> is/are rejected					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	•			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers	·				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		a Everiner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
	kammer. Note the attached On	ince Action of form F 10-192.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	θ(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document		cation No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rece	eived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	ary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mai	il Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8-10-04</u> .	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	al Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20041208			

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Upon consideration, the previous rejections are withdrawn and the following are the new rejections.

Claims included in the prosecution are 1-6, 20-25 and 31-33.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-2, 4-6, 20-25 and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method of reducing the level of amyloid-beta peptides in vivo by administering 17 beta estradiol, does not reasonably provide enablement for a method of delaying or reducing the likelihood or ameliorating a disease or disorder associated with amyloidosis and which diseases include Alzheimer's disease. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Instant invention is based on the apparent decrease in the levels of amyloid beta peptides using estradiol at a dose, which does not affect the soluble APP levels. First of all, as evident from the literature (Jaffe et al (JBC of record), treatment with physiological levels of estradiol in vitro results in large increases in soluble APP and according to applicant that observing no effect on this soluble APP levels is surprising after estradiol administration. However,

Art Unit: 1615

instant claims are drawn to 'estrogen compound' and according to instant specification on pages 8 and 9 multitudes of compounds having a steroidal structure fall within this generic term. There is no evidence in instant specification that in vivo administration of any compound falling within this generic term would lead to the same surprising results. There is no adequate guidance in the specification as to how one can determine the amounts of the compounds, which would not have an effect on the soluble APP, but decrease the levels of beta peptides. Furthermore, there is no evidence presented in the specification as to how one can predict the susceptibility of a human to Alzheimer's disease and how the treatment of these people with estrogens would delay or reduce the likelihood or ameliorating Alzheimer's disease, let alone other diseases wherein amyloidosis is involved. Broad claims must have broad basis of support in the specification; in the absence of such support, claims must be limited to estradiol effect on amyloid beta peptide levels without having an effect on the soluble APP; it would require undue experimentation to determine which of the compounds falling within the definition of 'estrogen compound' would have the same effect. In this context, it should be pointed out that the reference of Heikkinem et al (Experimental Neurology, 2004) submitted by applicant teaches that Estrogen treatment does not affect beta amyloid accumulation and plaque formation thus, showing the unpredictability in the treatment of Alzheimer's disease.

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1615

4. Claims 4 and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear as to what the equine estrogen is conjugated with as recited in claim 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 20, 21, 23, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Washburn (5,719,137).

As pointed out above, instant claims are drawn to 'estrogen compound' with multitudes of compounds having steroidal structure included.

Washburn discloses the effectiveness of 7 alpha dihydroequilenin and compares it with estradiol in a method of reducing the risk of Alzheimer's disease and the method of treating other dementia related conditions in males and females. The composition is administered in a transdermal patch (control release) (abstract, col. 3, lines 22-60, col. 8, lines 2-3, examples and claims). The reference meets the requirements of instant claims.

7. Claims 1-3, 5-6, 20, 21, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Xu et al (Nature Medicine, vol. 4, April, 1998, pp. 447-451).

Art Unit: 1615

Xu et al disclose that estrogen (estradiol) reduces neuronal generation of Alzheimer beta-amyloid peptides, in particular A beta42 and thereby delay or prevent AD (abstract and entire article).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Washburn (5,719,137) cited above.

The teachings of Washburn have been discussed above. What is lacking in Washburn is the administration to be for at least 10 days. Since this parameter depends

upon various factors such as the severity of the condition and the age of the patient, it is deemed to be an obvious parameter manipulatable by an artisan to obtain the best possible results.

10. Claim s 4, 22, 23 and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xu et al cited above.

The teachings of Xu have been discussed above. What are lacking in Xu et al are the use of estrogens other than estradiol, the use of estrogens in a controlled release device, the amounts and the protocol of administration. It would have been

Art Unit: 1615

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use instant conjugated estrogen with a reasonable expectation of success since estrogen receptors are the same and the conjugated estrogen is used in the art in estrogen replacement therapy. The use of a controlled release device such as a transdermal patch would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, with a reasonable expectation of success, since these are available commercially. Instant protocol of administration (for 10 days) and the amounts are deemed to be a manipulatable parameter since as pointed out above, this depends on various factors such as the severity of the condition and the age of the patient.

11. Claims 1-6, 20-25 and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 99/48488 in combination with Washburn (5,510,342), Holland (3,843,662) or Lundeen (Endocrinology, vol. 138, pp. 1552, 1997) individually or taken together.

WO teaches that blood cholesterol levels correlate with the production of amyloid protein and are predictors of populations at risk of developing Alzheimer's disease (AD). According to WO, methods of lowering cholesterol can be used to decrease production of A beta, thereby decreasing the risk of developing AD (abstract, pages 1-6, Example 3 and claims). What is lacking in WO is the use of estrogens.

Washburn discloses that estrogens and conjugated estrogens lower blood cholesterol (table 1 on col. 4; col. 7, line 67 through col. 8, line 22).

Holland teaches that lowering of blood cholesterol by estrogens is known (col. 1, lines 25-28).

Art Unit: 1615

Lundeen similarly teaches that estrogens (estradiol and ethinyl estradiol) reduce plasma cholesterol levels (abstract, Results and Discussion).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use estrogens in the teaching of WO, that is, for lowering the levels of A beta peptide and decrease the risk of developing Alzheimer's disease since Washburn, Holland, and Lundeen teach that estrogens and conjugated estrogens lower cholesterol and because WO teaches that methods of lowering cholesterol can be used to decrease production of A beta, thereby decreasing the risk of developing AD. In the absence of showing the criticality, instant doses and protocol of administration are deemed to be obvious parameters manipulated by an artisan since these depend upon the severity of the condition and the age of the patient.

Applicant's arguments and declaration have been fully considered, but are deemed to be moot in view of the new rejections.

The reference of Goodman, which teaches attenuation of amyloid beta peptide toxicity by estrogens, is cited of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gollamudi S Kishore, Ph.D whose telephone number is (571) 272-0598. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM- 4 PM, alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1615

Page 8

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gollamudi S Kishore, Ph.D Primary Examiner Art Unit 1615

GSK